

M(atrix) Theory on the Negative Light-Front ¹

Soo-Jong Rey

Physics Department, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742 KOREA

sjrey@gravity.snu.ac.kr

abstract

M(atrix) theory defines light-front description of M-theory boosted along positive direction of eleventh, M-coordinate. Rank of M(atrix) gauge group is directly related to M-momentum $P_{11} = N/R_{11}$ or, equivalently, to total number of D0-partons. Alternatively, M-theory may be boosted along opposite direction of M-coordinate, for which the theory consists only of anti-D0 partons. In M(atrix) theory description, we interpret this as analytic continuation of dimension of the gauge group: $U(-N) \approx U(N)$, $SO(-2N) \approx USp(2N)$ and $USp(-2N) \approx SO(2N)$. We check these ‘reciprocity relations’ explicitly for uncompactified, heterotic, and CHL M(atrix) theories as well as effective M(atrix) gauge theories of $T_5/\mathbb{Z}_2$ and $T_9/\mathbb{Z}_2$ compactifications. In all cases, we show that absence of parity, gauge and supersymmetry anomalies require introduction of a twisted sector with negative numbers of matter multiplets. They are interpreted as massless open string excitations connected to anti-D-brane background.

¹ Work supported in part by the NSF-KOSEF Bilateral Grant, KOSEF SRC-Program, Ministry of Education Grant BSRI 97-2418 and The Korea Foundation for Advanced Studies Faculty Fellowship

Motivation: M(atrrix) theory [1] defines the light-front Hamiltonian description of M-theory. By boosting Type IIA string theory along quantum, M-direction, parton content of M-theory is identified with D0-branes. Kinematical argument that leads to the identification of D0-partons is as follows. Consider, in M-theory, excitation of a particle of rest mass m and eleven-momentum $(P_0, \mathbf{P}_\perp, P_{11})$:

$$P_0^2 - P_{11}^2 - \mathbf{P}_\perp^2 = m^2. \quad (1)$$

If the particle is boosted by $P_{11} = N/R$ along $\mathbf{S}_1$ compactified M-coordinate of radius R while keeping $\mathbf{P}_\perp$ finite,

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 - |P_{11}| &= \frac{1}{P_0 + |P_{11}|} (\mathbf{P}_\perp^2 + m^2) \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2|P_{11}|} (\mathbf{P}_\perp^2 + m^2) \\ &\equiv \mathcal{H}_{\text{LC}} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

is of order $\mathcal{O}(1/|P_{11}|)$. Along globally well-defined light-front time $t \equiv X^0 + X^{11}$, $\mathcal{H}_{\text{LC}}$ serves as the light-front Hamiltonian. The D0-particle corresponds to M-theory graviton ($m = 0$) propagating around $\mathbf{S}_1$ -compactified M-coordinate. Via Kaluza-Klein interpretation, boost momentum N/R_{11} is then identified with total energy of D0-partons. Since $1/R_{11}$ is the minimal unit of the boost momentum, we identify N with the total number of D0-particles. BPS nature of Kaluza-Klein states imply that there are no contributions of anti-D0-particles. In other words, parton content of M(atrrix) theory is entirely of D0-branes but no anti-D0-branes. This is the basis that M(atrrix) theory is described by large- N limit of $\mathcal{N} = 16$, $(0+1)$ -dimensional $U(N)$ gauge theory. It is also possible that finite- N M(atrrix) theory is the discrete light-cone quantization (DLCQ) description of M-theory [2]. Again, N is directly related to the dimension of Fock space of D0-particles.

Given that, in M(atrrix) theory, it appears that there is no room for anti-D0-particles. However, a variant of M(atrrix) theory can be found, for which fundamental partons are made solely of anti-D0-branes. The idea is extremely simple that one boosts the M-theory backward (rather than forward) along M-direction. Magnitude of total M-momentum increases indefinitely but the sign is *opposite* to that of traditional forward boost. For $\mathbf{S}_1$ -compactified M-coordinates, this means that N is taken a large negative integer: $P_{11} = N/R_{11} \rightarrow -\infty$. The Kaluza-Klein picture of the D0-particle Ramond-Ramond charge and CPT invariance tells us that boost with the negative N should be interpreted as depleting $|N|$ D0-particles from Type IIA string, hence, attaching $|N|$ anti-D0-particles to it.

That the sign of P_{11} is correlated with particle or anti-particle interpretation can be seen more explicitly. Take infinite momentum boost of a bosonic particle or anti-particle. In terms

of light-front variables, the propagator is expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} K(p) &\equiv \frac{i}{P^2 - m^2 \pm i\epsilon} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{i}{E - \mathcal{H}_{\text{LC}} + i\epsilon \text{sgn} P_{11}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The positive respectively negative P_{11} momentum is then identified with particle and anti-particle excitations in the light-front description.

The above arguments suggest that, in M(atrrix) theory, M-theory boosted backward along M-direction is described by $(0 + 1)$ -dimensional gauge theory in which N is replaced by $-N$ ($N > 0$). From Eq. (1) one finds that the change is to take the dimension of gauge group to a negative value! How can one make sense of matrices whose sizes are negative-dimensional? This paper is intended to show that it is indeed possible to give well-defined notion of M(atrrix) theories with anti-D0-partons via so-called ‘reciprocity relations’ in the representation theory of Lie groups.

Negative-Dimensional M(atrrix) Gauge Groups In different contexts in mathematics [3, 4] and physics [5, 6], classical groups with negative dimensions have been considered over the years. In particular, Cvitanovic and Kennedy [5] have proven important *reciprocity relations*, according which (1) for all $3m - j$ coefficients constructed from tensor representations of $\text{SU}(N)$, the interchange of symmetric and anti-symmetric Young tableaux is equivalent to the analytic continuation $N \rightarrow -N$, and (2) for all $3m - j$ coefficients constructed from tensor representations of $\text{SO}(2N)$ and $\text{USp}(2N)$, the interchange of symmetric and anti-symmetric Young tableaux is equivalent to the analytic continuation $N \rightarrow -N$ together with the interchange $\text{SO} \leftrightarrow \text{USp}$. The reciprocity relations may be expressed symbolically as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SU}(-N) &::= [\text{SU}(N)]^T \\ \text{SO}(-2N) &::= [\text{USp}(2N)]^T \\ \text{USp}(-2N) &::= [\text{SO}(2N)]^T, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where ^{T} signifies weighted transpose of Young tableaux, in which the weighting is given by $(-)^m$ for m -boxed Young tableaux.

In a way reminiscent to the idea of Parisi and Sourlas [7], one may interpret representations of these negative-dimensional classical groups as Grassmannian representations [6]. Note that the analytic continuation $N \rightarrow -N$ makes a sense for any physical gauge invariant quantities. Even though there are in principle ambiguities in changing sign of N for quantities that are defined only for integer positive N , in perturbation theory, the group weight of each Feynman

diagram may be expressed in terms of eigenvalues of the Casimir operators on irreducible representations, hence, is a polynomial in N . It is precisely for this polynomial type that they make sense for *all* values of N via analytic continuation. We now apply the above reciprocity relations to several known M(atrix) theories and check various consistency conditions.

M(atrix) Theory with Sixteen Supercharges: Let us begin with uncompactified M(atrix) theory [1]. The M(atrix) theory is described by $(0 + 1)$ -dimensional gauge theory (quantum mechanics) with sixteen supercharges and $U(N)$ gauge group. The field content is gauge multiplet (A_0) and a matter multiplet $(\mathbf{X}^i, \Theta_\alpha)$ transforming in the adjoint representation. As such, the reciprocity relation Eq. (4) implies that all physical quantities calculated out of M(atrix) theory via perturbation theory are identical in one-to-one manner with those calculated out of M(atrix) theory with gauge group $SU(-N)$. The latter is what we have identified with M(atrix) theory of anti-D0-partons. This should be intuitively clear. The M-theory is invariant under simultaneous reversal transformation of parity $X^{11} \rightarrow -X^{11}$ and three-form gauge potential $C_{MNP} \rightarrow -C_{MNP}$. Reversing the boost direction amounts to Kaluza-Klein charge-conjugation of Type IIA string theory, hence, interchange of D0-partons and anti-D0-partons. The fact that the three-form potential reverses the sign can be seen from, for example, the *sign* of non-commutativity of the membrane configuration $[\mathbf{X}^i, \mathbf{X}^j] = \epsilon^{ij}/N$. Upon $\mathbf{T}^n$ toroidal compactification, the M(atrix) theory is described by maximally supersymmetric gauge theories with gauge group $U(-N) := [U(N)]^T$. The $(n + 1)$ -dimensional gauge theory is vector-like, hence, is free from potential parity, gauge or supersymmetry anomalies. We thus conclude that M(atrix) theory with negative-dimensional gauge group $U(-N)$ corresponds to the light-front description of M-theory boosted backward in M-direction and the negative-dimension of the gauge group is interpreted as parton content being anti-D0-particles rather than D0-particles.

Heterotic M(atrix) Theory: Heterotic M(atrix) theory is defined as $\mathbf{S}_1/\mathbf{Z}_2$ orbifold of M(atrix) theory and is described by $(0 + 1)$ -dimensional gauge theory with eight supercharges and $O(2N)$ gauge group. An important distinction of heterotic M(atrix) theory is that the theory requires introduction of a twisted sector. The field content of untwisted sector consists of gauge multiplet (A_0) , a joint multiplet $(A_9, \mathbf{S}_a)$, and a matter multiplet $(\mathbf{X}^i, \mathbf{S}_{\dot{a}})$ that transform as rank-two symmetric representation under the gauge group. In Ref. [8], it has been shown that local cancellation of cosmological constant require introduction of a twisted sector consisting of sixteen, fermionic supermultiplets $\chi_M^{(1,2)}$ that transform under $O(2N)$ gauge group as fundamental representations. In the limit of shrinking $\mathbf{S}_1/\mathbf{Z}_2$ orbifold, the heterotic M(atrix) theory becomes $(1 + 1)$ -dimensional *chiral* gauge theory with $(8, 0)$ supersymmetry and gauge group $O(2N)$ [9]. The gauge and adjoint multiplets of quantum mechanics combine into $(1 + 1)$ -dimensional gauge supermultiplet $(A_\mu, \mathbf{S}_a)$. Being chiral, the gauge theory is severely constrained by absence of

potential gauge and supersymmetry anomalies [8, 10]. The gauge anomaly of untwisted sector $8 C_2(\text{adjoint}) - 8 C_2(\text{symmetric}) = 8[(N+2) - (N-2)]C_2(\text{fundamental})$ is cancelled precisely by the gauge anomaly $N_F C_2(\text{fundamental})$ of the twisted sector spectrum, viz. $N_F = 32$ Majorana-Weyl fermion supermultiplets transforming in the fundamental representations.

Consider now heterotic M(atrix) theory with anti-D0-partons. Reversal of boost momentum is achieved by analytic continuation of $N \rightarrow -N$, hence, M(atrix) gauge group $SO(-2N)$. According to the ‘reciprocity relations’, this has to be accompanied by the weighted transpose of Young tableaux for each multiplets. The gauge multiplet, which corresponds to anti-symmetric rank-two representation, is turned to symmetric rank-two representation. This implies that $SO(-2N) = USp(2N)$ and is a confirmation of the ‘reciprocity relation’. At the same time, the multiplicity of twisted sector multiplets has to be taken negative value $N_F \rightarrow -N_F$. In weakly coupled Type-I string limit, the twisted sector spectrum is understood as being massless chiral fermionic excitations of D1-D9 strings. Now that the M-theory boost direction is reversed, hence, D1 and D9 branes are turned into anti-D1 and D9 branes, the anti-D1-anti-D9 string gives rise to *negative* number of chiral fermions. They are anti-particles of chiral fermions measured relative to the M(atrix) theory vacuum. In other words, $N_F \rightarrow -N_F$ is a reflection of the fact that the background D-branes are turned into anti-D-branes.

We thus conclude that heterotic M(atrix) theory obtained by backward boost is described by a gauge theory whose gauge group is $SO(-2N) = USp(2N)$ and multiplicity of twisted sector spectrum is taken to be negative, $N_F = -32$ in units of fundamental representations.

CHL M(atrix) Theory: A variant of heterotic M(atrix) theory is CHL M(atrix) theory [11], which is defined as M(atrix) theory compactified on Möbius strip, viz. Γ_2^{CHL} involution of heterotic M(atrix) theory compactified on S_1 . As such, CHL M(atrix) theory is described by a three-dimensional gauge theory with eight supercharges and gauge group $U(N)$ living on dual Möbius strip. Located at the boundary of Möbius strip is (1+1)-dimensional twisted sector. Because Γ_2^{CHL} involution acts freely, the twisted sector consists only of half many $N_F = 16$ chiral fermions than those in heterotic M(atrix) theory. These chiral fermions transform as a fundamental representation under the enhanced gauge group $SO(2N)$ at the boundary.

Again, the M(atrix) theory corresponding to backward boost is described by $N \rightarrow -N$ accompanied by $N_F \rightarrow -N_F$ and transpose of Young tableaux. Quite similar to heterotic M(atrix) theory, it is straightforward to check that the potential gauge and supersymmetry anomalies are cancelled completely but only if $N_F \rightarrow -N_F$ as above. To conclude, CHL M(atrix) theory defined via negative boost is described by (2 + 1)-dimensional gauge theory with (0, 8) supersymmetry and gauge group $U(N)$ living on dual Möbius strip. At the boundary, the gauge group is

enhanced to $\mathrm{USp}(2N)$. Measured in units of fundamental representation of $\mathrm{USp}(2N)$, the twisted sector living at the boundary consists of $N_F = -16$ Majorana-Weyl fermions.

$\mathbf{T}_5/\mathbf{Z}_2$ M(atrix) Theory: For higher-dimensional compactification of M(atrix) theory, gauge theory description is not complete by itself and has to be defined via a more fundamental fixed point quantum theory at the ultraviolet. Nevertheless, M(atrix) gauge theories provides useful low-energy effective description. In particular, if the gauge theories are chiral, then absence of gauge and supersymmetry anomalies puts severe constraint to the theory content, hence, provides useful information.

Consider $\mathbf{T}_5/\mathbf{Z}_2$ compactification as an example. From D0-brane parton scattering and six-dimensional gauge and supersymmetry anomaly cancellation conditions, the low-energy effective description of corresponding M(atrix) theory has been identified with $(5+1)$ -dimensional chiral gauge theory with $(0,1)$ supersymmetry and $\mathrm{USp}(2N)$ gauge group. The matter content consists of a hyper-multiplet from the untwisted sector that transforming as an anti-symmetric representation and, from the twisted sector, of thirty-two hyper-multiplets transformation as ‘half’ fundamental representations. The latter were introduced for consistency that potential gauge and supersymmetry anomalies are cancelled only when they are present. More explicitly, the gauge anomaly of untwisted sector is calculated to be $C_4(\text{adjoint}) - C_4(\text{antisymm}) = [(2N+8) - (2N-8)]C_4(\text{fundamental}) = 16C_4(\text{fundamental})$. Clearly, this is cancelled by the $N_F = 32$ ‘half’ fundamental representation hypermultiplets arising from the twisted sector.

Again, if the M-theory on $\mathbf{T}_5/\mathbf{Z}_2$ is boosted backward along M-direction, according to our general consideration, the corresponding M(atrix) theory is defined by $N \rightarrow -N$ accompanied by $N_F \rightarrow -N_F$ and weighted transpose of Young tableaux. The M(atrix) gauge group has become $\mathrm{USp}(-2N) = \mathrm{SO}(2N)$. The negative multiplicity of twisted sector $N_F = -32$ is a reflection of by-now familiar fact that the background longitudinal five-branes are all turned into anti-five-branes. It is straightforward to check that the gauge anomaly cancellation holds satisfied even after the analytic continuation.

We conclude that $\mathbf{t}^5/\mathbf{Z}_2$ compactification of M-theory is described by, when boosted backward along M-direction, $(5+1)$ -dimensional chiral gauge theory with $(0,1)$ supersymmetry and gauge group $\mathrm{SO}(2N)$. The twisted sector consists of $N_F = -32$ ‘half’ fundamental representatoin hypermultiplets.

$\mathbf{T}_9/\mathbf{Z}_2$ M(atrix) Theory: Finally, consider M(atrix) theory compactified on $\mathbf{T}_9/\mathbf{Z}_2$. It has been shown that [13] low-energy effective description of the compactification is provided by $(9+1)$ -dimensional chiral gauge theory with $(1,0)$ supersymmetry and gauge group $\mathrm{SO}(32)$.

Peculiarity of this M(atrix) gauge group is the fact that the dimension of gauge group is completely fixed and is in fact equal to the number of twisted sector D0-branes $N_F = 32$. This simply reflects the ‘holographic principle’ in the case that all transverse directions are compactified. Since the transverse volume is compact and finite, the would-be D0-partons cannot be boosted indefinitely and only $N_F = 32$ many D0-particles are allowed to cancel anomalous charges from the orbifold fixed points.

Had we boosted the M-theory compactified on $\mathbf{T}^9/\mathbb{Z}_2$ backward along M-direction, then from the ‘reciprocity relations’, we expect that the gauge group is $SO(-32) = USp(32)$, since the twisted sector matter multiplicity is mapped to $N_F \rightarrow -N_F = -32$. Indeed, $(9+1)$ -dimensional $USp(n)$ super-Yang-Mills theory has gauge anomaly $(n+32)$ and $n = N_F = -32$ is the only consistent choice.

Acknowledgments

I thank H. Tye and E. Witten for invaluable discussions at early stage of this work.

References

- [1] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S.H. Shenker and L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. **D55**, 5112 (1997).
- [2] L. Susskind, hep-th/9704080.
- [3] R. Penrose, *Combinatorial Mathematics and Its Applications*, ed. D. Welsh, pp. 221, (1971, Academic Press, New York).
- [4] R.C. King, Can. J. Math. **23** (1971) 176.
- [5] P. Cvitanovic and A.D. Kennedy, Phys. Scr. **26** (1982) 5.
- [6] G.V. Dunne, J. Phys. **A22** (1989) 1719.
- [7] G. Parisi and N. Surlas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **43** (1979) 744.
- [8] N. Kim and S. -J. Rey, hep-th/9701139, Nucl. Phys. **B502** (1997).
- [9] D.A. Lowe, Phys. Lett. **403B** (1997) 243;
S. -J. Rey, hep-th/9704158, Nucl. Phys. **B502** 170.
- [10] D. Kabat and S.-J. Rey, *Wilson Lines and T-Duality in Heterotic M(atrix) Theory*, hep-th/9707099.

- [11] G. Zwart, *Matrix Theory on Non-Orientable Surfaces*, [hep-th/9710057](#) :
N. Kim and S.-J. Rey, *Non-Orientable M(atrix) Theory*, [hep-th/9710192](#).
- [12] N. Kim and S. -J. Rey, *M(atrix) Theory on $\mathbf{T}_5/\mathbf{Z}_2$ Orbifold and Five-Branes* ,
[hep-th/9705132](#):
A. Fayyazuddin and D.J. Smith, *Phys. Lett.* **407B** (1997) 8.
- [13] N. Kim and S. -J. Rey, *M(atrix) Theory on $\mathbf{T}_9/\mathbf{Z}_2$ Orbifold and Twisted Zero-Branes*,
[hep-th/9710245](#).